

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,088	05/16/2002	Yoshikazu Sakairi	107312-00001	4283
4372	7590 07/06/2004		EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400			HENDRICKSON, STUART L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
00	WASHINGTON, DC 20036		1754	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examinar
	Maj disay My
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	3
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . atute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	רא
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	0L .
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>	pt for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s) [2]	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
Constitution of Droffenersen's Potent Drov	vina Review. PTO-948.
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob	is □ approved □ disapproved.
<ul> <li>☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li></ul>	is □ approved □ disapproved. lected to by the Examiner.
<ul> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob</li> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner</li> </ul>	is □ approved □ disapproved. lected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob is/are ob is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is □ approved □ disapproved. iected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob is/are ob is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received.	is approved disapproved.  iected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies. ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur. ☐ received in this national stage application from the	is approved disapproved.  jected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been  inber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.  jected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been  inber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies. ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur. ☐ received in this national stage application from the	is approved disapproved.  jected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been  inber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.  iected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been  inber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.  iected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been  inber)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 10/030,088

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terms 'momobasic' and 'dibasic' are unclear. Is dihydrogen meant?

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Greinke et al. 5582811.

The reference teaches in example 14 and column 2 treating graphite with phosphate (the anion of phosphoric acid) and another acid. While the pentoxide is not recited, it appears to be made in-situ; compare to present specification.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP '972.

The reference teaches graphite treated with phosphate. It appears acid is also added. A translation is requested.

The abstract of JP 59-8607 was not readable. No 1449 form was found. It is presumed applicant is aware of all the references cited herein. Greinke EP '984 is deemd to possess the same teachings as '811 but is not used to avoid duplication of rejection.

Art Unit: 1754

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754